G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

L. R. 268-95

~~191~~

(L R)

File No. 297-96

Serial Nos. 1-12

Later references.

LR 272-25 (1-7)

LR Dft 497-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

[illegible] Part B for October 1900.

SUBJECT.

Grant of land in the Jaintiah Parganas —
Malir Tank in exchange of land in the Jaintiah Parganas
submerged by the impermanent of the Lallur Tank.

Ref. 12. To the Deputy Commissioner Khasi Hills No. 5385 dated 19th January 1901 stating that the papers dated 23-3-1900 were forwarded to his office direct by G. O. No. 3363-G. dated 23-10-1900 referred to and that they are not to be found in the file of papers relating to the subject in the Records of this Office —

Concurred and filed
Index.

(1) Kolar DC's letter
3334
814 of 27.2.97

Gl. 5

The proposal of the
Joint dam is very
reasonable – They
have selected three
numbers of boulders
and also some in
between which could a solitary
& ask that they
may be given
them in compensation
for the lands the
Joint may submerge
. Then no formation
block & if situated
contiguously to the
village no difficulty
culty of any kind
would have presented
itself for them being
added on to that
village – But there
is forest land intervening
& therefore the lands
selected will have
to remain separate
and will have to
be treated as a
village in a forest
Inam. In
this case the Inam
-dars do not seem
to have any objn.
The best
method of adjusting

the Inamdar's claim is inferior to that of the villagers in particular to tenants of land by inheritance, & whose the same or the lands now chosen under the assessment or the latter if smaller. These remedies will of course be controlled as a matter of law. The Jodidar may object to take a money compensation as they fear the money will be frittered away & the permanent provision in the shape of a land grant will be lost. This objection has great force -

In order No. 4414 of 786 of the 7th Nov 1850 it is stated as follows:-

"In the case of lands taken up in Jodi villages like those under notice, the preferable course to be followed as has already been laid down is to grant a money equivalent for the resumed land and a deduction from the Jodi or amount of the Jodidar's right to the revenue from it."

This provision appears to have been lost sight of & the remedy is not clear. But as the case deals with the smaller Inamdar, finally whether land should be given in lieu of the Sirdeshpande's hereditary emoluments expressed above - I send him a copy of these remedies & also send out the provisions of G

Mar 18
25/3/97

Reg.

1 Draft letter to Dharwar Collr
Legal
2 Send 5 Regd. Inamdar
for the 24th inst.

by 27/3/97

Revenue Dept no 1561/442

(12) S. Subdt no 721 24

3

When two files relate
with question of
compensation when
from whom lands
have been acquired
for public purposes
by substituting other
lands when available

Some orders of
Govt have declared
the unpolicy of this
measure and decided
the payment of a
money compensation
I can discover no
valid reason for
refusing the party
applying for it land
of equal assessed value
In both these cases lands
are available a
enough given which
the delay was due to
the fact that wanted
to refer this matter
to the Dewan, but
as under the present
division of work the
questions are to be
submitted to the
Madhavarao

25/6/98 [illegible]

(1)

Letter from Regt. Q. Mr.

27-6-98

Recd
6 pm
27/6/98

Ship 1 G ft-C

There are two cases – one arising
from a petition of the Mo
from a reference by the Dr / Mo

96/3

24/9/98

I concur with the Straits Times may
frog. Can this the Court sign
Regn 374, compensation for the
Cadaval or fund in court, –
as that, this may be no
difficulty in making, when
necessary, the appointment
of the amount of compensation
among other persons entitled.
Then will be less complication
of money payment in each
case of the owner who receive
such compensation to obtain
an purchase. Other Courts as
they like ——

ADL
3.9.98

Sid Obscelus

This seems to be a general question
that arising out of a petn.

24/9/98

Sid to 2nd Counsellor

24/9/98
No 10/9/98

I venture to think that
persons may be benefitted
by a
is where the remedy is limited in by a
party is prevented by reason of
any circumstance beyond his control –

12.9.98 Lewis
11/1140

You direct that Regn
where such a case is
admitted the proceeds
pay over to the Treasurer
of

10/9/98

(3)

Office note

Case I

That, referred by the Deputy Commr Kolar district in his letter No 561/442 of 5-11-97

Case II

Petn No 835 of 2-9-96 of Ramchandra and papers finding with letter No 721 of 15-4-97 from the Survey Supto

Facts of case No I

Out of Inam dry lands S. Nos 42 and 45 of Kachaltahalli measuring 8 acres and 5 guntas (Survey assessment Rs 15-0-0) with a Quit rent of Rs a-10-p in the holding of Inbramangasandra, Bhatta mangalam, an extent of 1 acre and 4 guntas at an Estimated assessment of Rs 2-0-6 was taken up for excavating an irrigation channel under Srinivasa sagar tank and making a new road for the village cattle. The Panchayatdars fixed compensation of Rs 55, but the party refused to accept to the award and claimed

Enclosure to the and Secy's letter

26/9/98

either the payment of Rs 136 being the proportionate actual price he paid when he ~~paid~~ purchased the Crown lands, or grant of lands in exchange. The Subdy Office and the Dy: Commr propose to give in exchange L. Nos 46 and 47 (dry-assessed waste situated in the same village) measuring 1 acre and 2 gunthas and assessed at Rs 2-4-0. The party agrees to the above and the Dy: Commr solicits permission to grant the lands to the said Subramanya Sastri.

Case No II

22 acres and 10 gunthas of land in the holding of the Jodidars of Perra village were submerged by the improvement of the Cauliflower lands. The Dy: Commr Kolar District in his letter No $\frac{3.417}{893}$ 12-3-96 proposed to grant to the Jodidars of the village S. Nos (wet lands) 89, 58, 95, 39, 110 and portion of 40 situated in the Sort village of Baragu-

7

measuring 16 — 1 and assessed at Rs 100 — — in exchange for these lands. In Pro: No 995/R 897 of 27-7-96 Govt observed that the lands selected, being scattered in a Govt village, could not be given in Exchange for the lands submerged in a Jodi village.

(2) The Jodidars in their petition No 82 of 2-9-96 prayed that some Govt lands which were in a compact block might be given in exchange.

(3) Head Comm: who was called upon to report on the above, stated, in his letter No 2553/631 of 7-1-97 that the petrs had selected wet lands Nos 59, 60, 91 and 90 occupied by one Letchmadarasthar, and Nos 95, 89 and 58 (Govt unoccupied lands) in the Bargur village and situated in a compact block; and that Letchmadarasthar one of the Jodidars consented to alienate his lands in favor of the Jodidars.

(4)

(4) (16)

Further report was called for and the dy. Comm.r reported in his docket No 3334/814 of 27-2-97, that the lands now applied for do not adjoin the Jodi village, save recorded lands of Sirkar's Bargur village intervening between the two. On this the 2d Councillor ordered as follows on 25-3-97:—

"The proposal of the Jodiers is very reasonable. They have selected three numbers of Govt waste and also some in their own holding viz a Govt village, and ask that they may be given to them in compensation for the lands of the Jodi village submerged. These two forms one block and if situated contiguously to the village, no difficulty of any kind would have presented itself for their being added on to that village. But there is Govt land intervening and therefore the

9

the lands selected will have to remain separate and will have to be treated as a "minor Inam" in a Govt village. As this course the Inamdars do not seem to have any objection. The best method of adjusting the Inamdars claim is to reduce the Jodi of the village in proportion to the loss of land by submersion, and impose the same on the lands now chosen, the assessment on the latter being written off. These lots will of course be constituted as a "minor Jodi Inam". The Jodidars object to this a money compensation as they fear the money will be frittered away and the permanent provision in the shape of a land Inam will be lost. This objection has great force.

In order No $\frac{4414}{786}$ * of 7th December 1850 it is stated as follows:—

[In

* Vide reference file Hereunto pertg.

(5)

[In the case of lands taken up in Jodi villages, like the one under notice, the preferable course to be followed as has already been laid down is to grant a money compensation for the usufruct of the land and a deduction from the Jodi's, on account of the Jodidars right to the revenue from it.]

This previous reference has not been put up and the reason for the opinion is not clear. But before dealing with this matter finally, I shall be glad to have the opinion of the Survey & Inam Supdt. regarding the views expressed above. And him a copy of these remarks and also send out the previous reference."

(5) The Survey Supdt in his letter No 721 of 15th April 1847 states:—

"It is in my opinion preferable in almost every instance so far as the interests of

11

Govt are concerned, to grant money compensation altho I must of course admit that so far as the Crown are concerned, a permanent provision in the shape of land would be more advantageous

× × × × ×

The abstract question of assigning the lands as proposed in the Govt village as "minor Crown", presents no difficulty, it is only the principle involved in a procedure which apparently is opposed to the Order-in-Council of 1860 to which I later on refer.

(6) The 2nd Councillor to whom both the above cases were submitted for orders remarked as follows:—

"These two files relate to the question of compensating those from whom lands have been acquired for public purposes, by

substituting other lands when available

Some orders of Govt have declared the impolicy of this measure and directed the payment of a money compensation. I can discover no valid reason for refusing the party applying for it, land of greater or less value.

In both these cases lands are available and may be given if think. The delay was due to the fact that I wanted to refer this matter to the Dewan, but under the present division of work, the questions are to be submitted to Mr Madhava Row."

The 1st Councillor's minute was transferred from Mr Madhava Row's remarked as follows:—

"I concur with the S. Supdt and prefer money payment. Even under the Land Acquisition Regulation 1894, compensation

(11)

compensation has to be tendered or paid in money, so that there may be no difficulty in making, when necessary, the apportionment of the amount of compensation among all the persons interested. There will be less complication, if money payment be made leaving the owners who receive such compensation, to obtain or purchase other lands as they like".

13

The 2d Councillor to whom the file was last submitted passed the following order:-

" I shall be glad if the papers are placed before the Devan. The principle of advocate is where the remedy wished for by a party is possible and is not attended with any inconvenience, there is no reason to refuse it.

[signature]

(14)

16

(15)

15

I quite agree with the Act Secretary and an order in those terms might be given -

In the cases under appeal I have already agreed to the possibility of the compensation awarded in the Mapes land case being the rate of the charge. This may now be sanctioned.

The matter has been pending so long that it is desirable to issue the order in the Cardamom case further delay.

26.IX.00

3/10/00

No drafts 9/10/00.
Draft Pro: put up.
Exact part £10.10.00.
ub 12/10/00.

Reference Petr says the
latest position & personal repre-
-sentation to Commissioner
papers should be sent without delay
Revenue Board (Ind) B R 2/9/96
Resp early

16

Regd 1/9

4/9

Sent to the Collector

Dilly

2-9-96

Action taken
Subject to
Present report
of the Govt
1/9/96

2-9-96

4/9

4/9
Disp on 4 Sent to Govt
Mar 1/96

No. 835

Name of Petitioner, Krishnachar — Shetty Madhavachar — & Sons

Section I, Village, Pura village (Kasaragod)

Tal. No. 2737-96 Taluk, Kasaragod

Sub-No.

District, Malabar

Date of Arzi, 2-9-96.

Date of Receipt, 2-9-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 2

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Govt order dt 27-7-96, in reply to the recommendation of the Govt that three pieces of land under Govt lands of Kasaragod may be granted to petrs in lieu of their land submerged owing to the construction of the Kallikkulam bund & that the remaining one piece of land may be sold to the petrs, — Petrs state that the Govt lands recommended for grant to them are scattered in three places, they cannot be granted.

Petrs submit that the communication ordered to be granted to them by Govt subject to

such loss and they find difficulty (5)
to secure their livelihood after
paying off the Govt amount of Rs 150.
The Govt cannot decide to grant them
the lands chosen at first by and
otherwise them, they request that
lands nos 45, 89 & 55 which
are however selected to be
given to them under survey
nos 90, 91, 59 & 60 in this Khata
and adjoining the above lands
may be granted to them, in
lieu of their Govt lands sub-
merged, by writing off
this Khata the assessment
of Rs 23 -

As for the deficiency of
3 acres 19 guntas the Govt recom-
mended by and to be granted,
however, they beg that the
Govt may have equitable consi-
deration

Petn begs that the Govt
may be pleased to grant the
Govt lands applied for and which
they have been occupying
for many generations past
Petn begs to attach herewith a map
of the Govt lands -

3/9/24
RD

LD

No. 501

24-9-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Serial no (2)



OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 835 dated 2 Sept 1896 received at the Dewan's Office from Krishnachar & other ryots of Pura village in the Malur Talk & requesting that lands Nos 95-89 & 58 measuring 9 acres 14 gs which had been originally selected 2, 3 acres 26 gs which are included in their Khata is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Kolar Dist with a request that he will report upon the present request of the petitioners

2 The petition with its encls should be returned

Only may be granted to them in lieu of their lands submerged by the Lakkur tank and the assessment of Rs 23 imposed on the said 3 acres 26 gs may be remitted.

By order,

Bangalore, 23-9-96 for Secretary.

The 189

MGCP.B.—20-2-95—1,000

501

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

19

19 189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 4557
11 JAN. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Submitted the reference to the Revenue Councillor's order on the abstract of petn 338 of 2-9-96.

From The Deputy Commissioner Seriab No 3 of 1897 dated

To The General of Revenue Secretary to the Government Penang

Dated 7-1-97

Despatched 7-1-97

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 2555

No. 631

Reporting on the petition from Kadumeeran and others Jowindars of Eura Village Malim Dalam

15/1/97

Area 2 Rd 5 P Land Surveyed is 22 acres 0 16 perches. Area applied now proposed to be granted in lieu is 13 acres 0 14 perches assessed 8½ Rs

Subd 2nd Councillor

15/1

Rec'd 6.20 P.M. 15/1/97.

Section 21

No. 2555

No. 3

Petition Enquiry 11/1/97

11/1

Submit with reference

14/1

15/1

Other lands adjoining the Padi where I can direct the addition to be called without any Sawah land intervening between the two measurements to be noted. A fuller sketch required. Kadumeeran sent to state the reasons

26.1.97

Deputy Commissioner

26-1-97

Draft attached

7.6.11

Draftsman

27/1/97

(20)

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's office

Dated January 1917

To.

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Revenue and General Department.

Sir.

× Herewith returned with Enclosures

Adverting to your official Memorandum No. $\frac{\text{Fr}}{501}$ dated 28th September 1916 forwarding for report a petition from Krishnappa and other ryots of Hura village Malur taluk and in continuation of previous recommendation from this office, I have the honour to state that the Petitioners have now selected the marginally noted lands which adjoin each other and form a compact block for grant in exchange for their ryoti lands submerged by the tank. Of these the first 4

Taluk	Village	Survey No.	Extent		Assessment			Remarks
			A.	G.	Rs.	As.	P.	
Malur	Hura	112	1	32	1	8	0	Granted by Settlement authorities.
		53	1	28	1	8	0	
		54	2	32	2	8	0	
		55	2	32	2	8	0	
		56	2	32	2	8	0	

(21)

Numbers are in the occupancy of Sethumadhavachariar one of the Petitioners and he consents to the alienation thereof in favour of the Judicial. The remaining 3 are Government unoccupied lands which have already been included in the Statement submitted with this Office Docket No. 3417/893 dated 30th March 1896.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

for Deputy Commissioner.

(22)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. No. 8350/21
DATE 2-4-96

169

From The Secretary to the Govt of Bombay

Series No(4) (25)

Section L. 1.

File No 2 of 96

Serial No 4

To The Secretary Govt
Revenue Dept

Dated 27th July 1897

Despatched 2nd Aug.

Received

General No. 6713
P. No. 1186

Enclosure No.

Sir letter No. 1255 of 631
the 7th instant reporting
on the petition from Krishnachar
and other Jodidars of
Kurnellage Malur
Taluk.

With reference to the
above, I am directed to
request that he will be so good as to
report whether the
land alluded to in his
letter under reply,
adjoins the Jodi village
and can be added
to it, the latter without
any Government land
intervening; he may

the Two. A Ticket of Pneumay (26)
May be sent

By order

M

Secretary

Draft

dlf

Gen. Serial No. 311

189

Serial No. (5)

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar gold field

To The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore, Revenue and
General departments

Dated 27th February 97.

Despatched 2-3-97

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3334

No. 814

Submitting General No 6716 dated
p 1196
27th instant regarding the
Grant of certain Lands to
the Zemindars of Suna village
Bellary Taluk, in exchange for
their Jodi Lands Enfranchised
for the improvement of the
Sandur Lands ——.

——— . ———

In reply, I have the
honor to state that the
Lands referred to in
this office letter No 2555
631
dated 1st January 1897
do

Sketch
6/3/97

The sketch shows that the shortest distance between the limits of Jodi Inam Suna village & the Compact block (selected for being handed to the Zemindar) is 473 yards & that the intervening land is Inam land.

Remark - The only reason given in Gollapalli's D 2/7/96 for refusing to consider an exchange, being that the Lands have scattered, the D/Comm has now submitted a proposal free from this objection

Sub D to 2nd Commissioner (with reference to order on D/Comm's letter 7/1197)

MR 12/3/97

Recd 14th
13.3.97.

(116)

I do not agree that Jodi village,
have occupied Lands of
Fiekah, Bargun village inter
vening between two.
A sketch is herewith sub-
mitted for information. —.

Major Bey
for Deputy Commissioner.
Kandahar
Zabul

(10)

Secro (6).

29

8670
8770
No. F.1476 8 2.4.97
6.



From
Warden Hazara
Govt Res[erve]

to the Supt

Res[erve] survey + Imam Settlement
in Mysore

Dia
In forwarding herewith
Copy of the inaugural
address of the Maharaja,
with the Sketch of the lands
in original, relative to
the request of Krishnappa
& other Poligars of Bara
Village Madan taluk for
the grant of lands in lieu
of their lands submerged
by the repair of Lakkia
tank. I am directed to
request the favour
of your opinion in the
matter
Ref 2 No 835 dated
from Govt

* to the Collector Secro

(1) Govt no 995 dated 27/3/96
R397
(2) Letter no 2533 dated 7/4/97
631
from DC Kolar to
(3) Docket no 3334 dated 27/4/97
874
from DC Kolar to
(4) Remarks of Govt on
the Docket above
correspondence

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

(11) 189

From

The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

Series No (7).

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Bangalore 7-4-97

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 721

No.

In reply to Secretary's letter No. 3670 of 2/4/97 and Enclt

SUBJECT.

Submitting his opinion regarding the grant of certain lands to the goldars of Pura Village, Malur Tk in exchange for their goodi lands submerged by the improvement of the Lakkur Tank.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Revenue Department
Gl. No. 6791
Regd. 6/13
11 APR 97
Dewan's Office

(30)

Submitted.
The reports received from the Gen. Record yesterday are herewith put up.
13/4
7/4/97

Make an open file case
ML 6/7/97

Open file issued.
Ail
7/7/97.

The reports referred to in the order of 1880 do not seem to be traceable but the General record have 3 cases in two of which land in lieu of Devadayam lands alienated to the temples were sanctioned.

[The faulty up of reports has taken 2 months & the Genl records attribute the delay to the want of clue to the reports wanted & the Talooks involved.]

Shall I send communications to the reports ordered?

ML 10/7/97.

Rd 7/4
12/7/97.

Rd 17/4

Reports up
AK
19/4.

No 721

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 15th April 1897

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Gl & Revenue
(Depotts

Sir

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3670 dated 2nd instant and enclosures, relative to the grant of Krishnapura and other Jodidars of Pura village Chiknayakanhalli Taluk for the grant of lands in lieu of Jodi lands submerged by the repair of Kattekere Tank.

2 It is in my opinion preferable

preferable in almost every instance, so far as the interests of Government are concerned, to grant money-compensation, although I must of course admit that, so far as the Inundated are concerned, a permanent provision in the shape of land would be more advantageous. The argument in favour of assigning land in a Government village, instead of granting money-compensation, as in the present

present instance, has however some of its force because only a portion of the Jadi village has been taken up. I can quite understand that, when the whole of an Inam has been taken up for public purposes, a money compensation might fail, as connected with the assignment of land, to meet the object with which the Inam was originally granted. I am fully aware of the advantage to Government in

in granting money compensation in cases of this kind that I would urge upon the Government the advisability of adopting such a course wherever possible. In the present instance if the interests of the shareholders as they themselves assert, would really suffer by money compensation being granted, Government would, I presume, be very unwilling to strictly enforce the orders of 1st November 1920, although apparently that Order is a confirmation of previous Orders

Orders of Government on the same subject.

3 The village of Pune has been surveyed only "in line", but, judging from the old Survey of Rs 200, the fourteen new holdings, and in lieu of which it is proposed to grant lands in a Government village bearing assessment of Rs 56. 3. 0, must still leave a good deal of land in the possession of the Inamdars. I have not the Commander Cornwallis' at hand to refer to but I would

would suggest that Govt should only grant land in a Government village when being fully satisfied that the Indians contention is correct.

4. The abstract question of assigning the lands as now proposed in the Govt village, as Minor Inam presents no difficulty, it is only the principle involved in a procedure which abbarently is opposed to the Order of 1st November 1880 to which I take exception.

5 I beg to return original correspondence recieved with only one sketch your letter under reply.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
[signature]
Supt Allyson Red
Survey

Gen. 31.]

39

Section [illegible] From [illegible]

File No [illegible]

Serial No [illegible]

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Revenue and General Depts

Dated 5th November 1897

Despatched [illegible]

Received

General No. 1561

No. 442

Enclosure No. 2 & Press Cuttings.

Requesting the sanction of Government for the grant of lands to the Subramanya Chetty of Chikballapur in exchange for his lands taken up for excavating Irrigation channel.

To the Govt Revenue—

Please refer to Govt Order No. 11225 dated 8-3-93, forbidding the grant of fresh lands in exchange for Peishcush Inam lands taken up for public purposes.

12/11/97

There is a note in the file which shows that Mr. Bowring wished to show us certain correspondence with Gazetteer 1895 & 1896.
R 3188

19/11/97.

Rejd. 19/11/97

Rejd for orders

12/11

20/11

Gen.

Rejd. 19/11/97

Rejd. 15/11

Chief Rev. Secy

Please put up with Govt

Order R 16/11

15/11

Land Acquisition Department

Dated 6th October 19

No. 4

The Secretary to the
Government of Bihar,
General Branch.

Sir,

I have the honour to request sanction of
Government to the attached statement showing
a grant of Rs. 2 in exchange of
Portion of Khata No. 4 Kohatsali
taken up for excavating irrigation channel
under Irrigation Act and making
new road for the village cattle as detailed
in statement and Collector's Circle
2. The exact area taken up for the purpose of exc
were granted with an estimated breadth of
Rules of general patterns of the Irrigation
in the vicinity of the Saraswati channel
classification as specified in the margin.
3. The question of compensation for the area of
land taken up was given careful consideration
estimated by Panchayatdars" amounting to
on the land in question at the rate of Rs
per acre, but the same refused to accept
the award be made. We claimed Rs 3/- the

Sl. No.	Khata No.	Area taken up for channel	Area taken up for making road for cattle	Rate per acre	Compensation
1	9	0-38	1-3	0-12	0-10-0
2	36	2-36	0-12	0-3-0	
	Khulasati portion				
	1-21	2-12			
	8-5-	15-0-0	0-10-0	1-4	2-0-0

4. The Superintendent asked if it would be necessary to have land in question under grant of lands in exchange

4. The Chief Justice explained the assignment of lands in exchange as being subsidiary to Government as the value of the lands to be assigned in lieu is less than the amount of compensation claimed

5. The land selected to be assigned is assessed made entered in the same village and measures [illegible] Quarter as below:

	Extent.	assessment.
	A. R. P.	£. s. d.
Survey No 469	0 — 3 — 5	1 — 12 — 0
ory — 3		
do 47 —	0 — 1 — 7	0 — 4 — 0
	1 — 2	2 — 0 — 0

He never has agreed to take these. The land will be awarded to Personal Grant subject to the quit rent and every as aforesaid. It will be only necessary to annex off the assessment estimated on the lands taken up

6. The Journal papers in Cases on this Subject are submitted herewith

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
Inspector Commissioner
Colombo

Serial no (13) 42

Section
File No
Serial No

(48)

2 Drafts ~~Two Drafts~~ 44

Serial No (9). Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.



Repet- in P.J 13-00.

1) Letter No 1561 dated 5th November 1897, from the Deputy Commr Kolar Dt, soliciting the sanction of the Government, for the grant of S. Nos 46 and 47 (assessed waste) of Poseltihalli village in the Chickballapur Taluk, measuring 1 acre and 2 guntas assessed at Rs 2-0-0, to one Subramanya Sastri of Chickballapur, in lieu of 1 acre and 4 guntas of land with an estimated assessment of Rs 2-0-6, taken away from him out of his holding of S. Nos 42 and 45

said (6)
45 of the above village

for the purpose of ex-
-cavating an irrigation
channel under Sreeni
-vasa Sagara tank and
for making a new
road for the village
cattle.

2) Correspondence
ending with letter No
2555/631 dated 7.1.97, from * sl. 3.
the same, soliciting
sanction for grant
of S. Nos 59, 60, 90, 91, 95, 89
and 58 of Baragur
village in the Malur
Taluk, measuring
13 acres 14 gunthas assess
-ed at Rs 86-8-0, and
forming a compact
block, to Krishnachar
and other godidars
of Bura village in the
Malur Taluk, in lieu
of 22 acres and 18 gun
-tas of land belonging
to their godi village

submerged

enhanced by the improvement of the Lakkur tank.

3) Letter No. 721 dated 15-4-97, from the Supdt. of Revenue Surveys in Mysore (in Extenso)

* * * * * *

* In another file

3363-64 &
02 13-7-19[illegible] 23/25/19[illegible]

(490)

order thereon

The Government observe that when the party is willing to have land instead of money and when this can conveniently be given, the compensation may be in the shape of land ~~under~~ the Revenue authorities find no difficulty in giving the land asked for, in which case money compensation is preferable. In two cases.

cases under reference,
~~the possibility~~ is the
possibility of the com-
pensation being ~
awarded in the shape
of land according to
the wish of the party.
The Deputy Commis-
-sioner's proposals
made in the two letters
read above are ~
accordingly sanc-
-tioned.

C, and
Offg Dewan } by

~~Sd~~ Secretary

To.
1) The Dy Comr, Kolar
~~Dt~~ with records.
2) The Supdt of Revenue
Survey in Mysore.

FC 10.10.1900.

SD (16) P (47)

1900—1

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To Serishtadar (11).

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Section
File No
Serial No
Dated 19th

Despatched 21st } January 1901
Received 21

General No. 5385
No. P7 13-1900

(902)

Enclosure No.

His letter No 2017/343 dated 29th November 1900

In reply I am to state that the Vernacular papers ~~submitted~~ were forwarded to his office with the Govt order No referred to and that they are not to be found in the file of papers relating to the subject in the records of this office

3363-64 / P7 13-1900 dt 13-10-00.

19/1/01
for Secretary

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.